ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300 Adelaide 5067 Australia

Online ISSN 1440-9828



Mob: 61+401692057

Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: http://www.adelaideinstitute.org

December 2009 No 478

Climate change: free speech for the sceptics?

An Index on Censorship event on the politicisation of climate science reflected a growing debate, highlighted by 'climategate'



Padraig Reidy Friday 4 December 2009 14.00 GMT

The combination of the recent "climategate" scandal and the upcoming Copenhagen summit has put environmental issues, and specifically global warming, in the spotlight. Until recently, it seemed strange that there would even be such thing as a "climate debate". But increasingly, voices that challenge the accepted thinking on the issue seem to be gaining ground.

For the record, I adhere to the theory of anthropocentric global warming, and I agree that something must be done about it. What I find odd is that this has increasingly become a political, rather than scientific debate. A certain strand of libertarian conservativism, characterised by Telegraph writer James Delingpole, seems to pursue the battle against intervention to limit climate change as a crusade against liberal orthodoxy. In response, the language of the left has become increasingly vitriolic. Delingpole and his ilk are no longer disbelievers in man-made climate change, they are "deniers" - a phrase normally reserved for revisionists who question the Holocaust, or evidence of ethnic cleansing in the Balkans. Meanwhile, earlier this week, columnist Johann Hari compared the situation for environmentalists as akin to Europe facing Nazism in 1936, casting climate change sceptics not as deniers, but as those other great criminals of the British left, appeasers.

Last night, Index on Censorship hosted an event at the Free Word Centre in the hope of teasing out the various strands of this conflict. The title – "Is climate change scepticism the new Holocaust denial?" – may have seemed provocative, but it picked up on phrases used by panellist George Monbiot, who in the past has described the two stances as equally immoral and stupid. When asked if he thought climate sceptics' evidence for their claims was as flakey as that of Holocaust deniers for theirs, Monbiot concurred. Questioned on the use of the term "deniers" to describe

his opponents, Monbiot said he simply could not think of a better way of describing them, though he recognised the implications the term could carry for some.

His opponent in the debate, Delingpole, scented censorship and concealment among climate scientists. Delingpole claimed the "climategate" scandal was the biggest conspiracy since Watergate – bigger, in fact: "Watergate was just about a naughty president," he claimed. "Whereas Climategate is about the integrity of the scientific process; and about the economic future of the world."

Monbiot was happy to accept this point, even going further by saying he would have preferred that Phil Jones, head of the University of East Anglia Climate Research Unit, had resigned rather than merely stepping down pending investigation.

As the debate progressed, a certain consensus was reached, at least between the debaters: the scientific process must remain transparent, and should not be affected by ideology, or even morality.

But can we draw some parallel between the politicisation of climate science and the politicisation of Holocaust history by denialists with Nazi sympathies? Climate campaigners have frequently pointed out the many obfuscations and inaccuracies in the sceptics' arguments. Now the Climategate emails have given the sceptics some ammunition to make similar accusations. When either side of a debate hides some facts, or exaggerates others, then genuine free inquiry, the engine of progress, suffers.

http://www.guardian.co.uk/commentisfree/liber tycentral/2009/dec/04/climate-changescepticism

1


www.adelaideinstitute.org Website as of December 2009

<u>Adelaide Institute</u>



Latest News/Articles

Germany today



6 million lies, 83 million cowards

A revisionist breeze is blowing...

Robert Faurisson

The plot against art, Part 1

And there the Jews!
from A PROPHET AT HOME, Chapter 5
by Douglas Reed. 1941

Nazi hunter sees threat worse than Holocaust denial

As Demjanjuk trial nears, prosecutors confident they can convict

Demjanjuk fights for life

Quarterback, Congressman—and Now a Convict

Nazi hunter vows to bring last Hitler criminals to justice

Justice is finally in sight over a 1944 killing

The Complete Guide to Killing Non-Jews

Britain must de-Zionise Itself Immediately

Official - Holocaust Denial now punishable by Jail in Australia

Dr Fredrick Töben starts new website

The dogmatic myth of the Holocaust will be replaced with the truth



A great honour and privilege for a man to have spent time in prison in three countries for the cause of truth and humanity.

Time to fight back

Holocaust denier says he's 'unbroken' after prison

Video of Dr Töben's homecoming dinner, attended by his faithful friends, and hosted by Peter Hartung, director of Adelaide Institute. In his colourful speech, Fredrick vows to continue his fight for truth and justice. Entertainment by acclaimed German folk singer Dagmar Brenne.

Prison release celebrations Part 1

Prison release celebrations Part 2

Prison release celebrations Part 3
Fredrick Töben's message of hope - May 2009



The Jew Flu: The strange illness of Jewish anti-Semitism

Preparing for Service in the Rebuilt Temple
Bishop Williamson refuses to pay fine for Holocaust denial
State to 'spy' on every phone call, email and web search
Ahmadinejad- The Black Box [of the Holocaust] should
be opened

Dagmar Brenne: "There is much blood that is crying from the ground - unatoned for....and it is our duty to search out the truth!"



Adolf Hitler and Rudolf Hess lead the German people in commemorating those who had made the ultimate sacrifice for the German Reich, for freedom, truth and justice

**** Archive of previous articles ****
News/Articles September, October 2009

News/Articles July, August 2009

News/Articles May, June 2009

Background on Australia's Hate laws

So, we have here a very small but powerful minority group (representing approximately 0.6% of the population of Australia), who are able to greatly influence the introduction of new Laws into this country, and then use these Laws to pursue their own agenda, namely the protection by Law of the Holocaust Religion/Dogma.

Dr Töben was released from prison at 8.00am, Thursday 12th November, after serving a three month sentence for Holocaust denial (re-branded as contempt of court). He is unbroken and unrepentant, and appears very refreshed and relaxed after his little holiday. He was welcomed home by his friends and supporters who held a celebratory dinner for him, on Thursday evening. During his speech on the night, he vowed to continue his work in demolishing the Holocaust lies.



The days are numbered for the greatest lie in the history of mankind



Unfortunate victims of a typhus epidemic - re-branded in the minds of the masses as victims of a Nazi extermination policy "No documents, no history"

More on Dr Töben's persecution

Please be advised that effective as at 13th May 2009 I, Peter Hartung, am now the Director of Adelaide Institute and its website.

Dr Töben is preparing for his impending imprisonment of three months.

Dr Töben's new email address: Töben@toben.biz
Any mail arriving for him here will of course be forwarded.

Fredrick Töben reports: The Anti-racists are busy at work - a conspiracy theory in the making?

On 16 April 2009 in the Federal Court of Australia, Adelaide, Justice Bruce Lander found me guilty of contempt of court. This brought to a conclusion a 13-year legal battle that the then vice president, Jeremy Jones, Executive Council of Australian Jewry, had waged against me, Adelaide Institute and its website www.adelaideinstitute.org

On 13 May 2009 Lander handed down his penalty sentence, something about which the Jewish defence team did not make a submission but left it to the court to decide, i.e. the court became a proxy for Jewish wishes so that they could always claim they had nothing to do with the form of punishment.

This is an old technique – the Catholics did it with Galileo when they handed him over to the secular authorities, just as the Jews handed up Jesus to the Romans!

It gives truth to my maxim: Don't blame the Jews, blame those that bend to Jewish pressure.

I was given a 3 months jail term and the judge ordered my immediate arrested in court, then to be taken to prison. My barrister, Mr David Perkins, asked that execution of the arrest warrant be stayed for ten days because he wished to appeal against the sentence. The judge was astounded and visibly became agitated, claiming his request was "a very late invention". Still, leave was granted because I had all the paper work in my possession, and I needed to travel from Adelaide to Melbourne so that Perkins and I could prepare the appeal.

My matter made national news because it is an Internet censorship precedent case where someone is imprisoned for expressing an opinion on an historical matter that is labelled, for public consumption, a racist matter – questioning the Jewish Holocaust-Shoah; legally it was a contempt of court case!

On 10 December 2009 I visited Brendon O'Connell in Perth and only then did I connect the dots to other events occurring in Australia around May 2009, thereby revealing a pattern of persecution through legal prosecution.

Revisionists are aware of such patterns because they are so predictable once recognised as such:

- **1.** On 13 May 2009 Police charged Brendon O'Connell, 38, with 'conduct with intent to incite racial animosity or racist harassment'.
- 2. On the same day Lander's arrest warrant was stayed.
- **3.** Three days later, on 16 May 2009 Channel 9's *A Current Affair*, featured a former Ku Klux Klan leader on a visit to Australia who talked about evil white supremacist racists. The man wore striking blue-eye contact lenses that revealed the whole matter had been orchestrated to sow the seeds of discord within Australia's social fabric by asserting Brendon O'Connell was a racists and that Australia had a growing racist problem.
- **4.** O'Connell's first court appearance on 19 May 2009 was accompanied by media reports of rioting and marching of Indian students in Melbourne and Sydney.
- **5.** From then on media news reports, well into the first week in June, reported thousands then hundreds Indian students rioting and marching in Melbourne and Sydney against growing racist attacks.

Unfortunately the orchestrated media event was nothing but puffery, as so much of this orchestrated racist matter has become – a self-serving industry, much like the Holocaust-Shoah.

Also, the December Copenhagen Climate Change Conference operated in similar fashion with a similar aim: using fear to hurry along the new One World Order. When I learned that any money raised to combat climate change would be administered by the World Bank, I knew then we were witnessing the making of another global scam – and the **climate change deniers** concept is liberally used by those who wish to silence any dissenting voice.

5. On 13 December 2009 Brendon O'Connell again appeared in court for a call-over. By 23 December 2009 the Director of Public Prosecution has to submit, in writing, that Jews are a race. Mr O'Connell must respond to this by 29 January 2010 that Jews are a religion, and on 11 February 2010 there will be a directions hearing and a date will be set for trial by jury. Stay tuned.

